

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. CV 22-07334-SPG(ASx)

Date January 23, 2023

Title Kevin Lofton v. Small Business Administration

Present: The Honorable SHERILYN PEACE GARNETT
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

P. Gomez
Deputy Clerk

Not Reported
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:
Not Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:
Not Present

Proceeding: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

Plaintiff(s) are **ORDERED** to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff(s) to show cause, in writing, **on or before February 6, 2023**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff(s) response. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78*. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a Defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Plaintiff(s) have failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint on the following Defendant(s):

Isabella Guzman

Plaintiff(s) can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. CV 22-07334-SPG(ASx)

Date January 23, 2023

Title Kevin Lofton v. Small Business Administration

the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Preparer pg _____